UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,648	10/31/2003	Haruhiko Usa	62758-063	8466
7590 01/16/2008 MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			TO, JENNIFER N	
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2195	
	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/697,648	USA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jennifer N. To	2195				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	DIVIC CETTO EVDIDE » M	ONTH(S) OF THIRTY (30) DAVS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reliation will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	4 October 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ T	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	o. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	dia alahira manuinanan					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 October 2007</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
	Examiner. Note the attached	2 0 1100 7 101 101 101 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p						
application from the International Bur						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.				
	•					
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/697,648 Page 2

Art Unit: 2195

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-12 are pending for examination.

2. Claims 5-8 are objected to because of the following reason: claims 5-8 raising an issue of which a non-statutory subject matter was claimed (i.e. software per se). For instance, claim 5 claimed a computer-resource management server comprising a resource utilization state data collection unit, a correlation-coefficient computation unit, a resource allocation unit, but according to the specification paragraph [0031] the resource management server has functional modules (software modules) wherein the function modules include a resource utilization state data collection unit, a correlation-coefficient computation unit, a resource allocation unit. Thus applicant is claiming software per se subject matter, which is a non-statutory subject matter. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kawamoto et al. (hereafter Kawamoto) (U.S. Patent No. 7117499).

Art Unit: 2195

5. Kawamoto was cited in the previous office action.

- 6. As per claim 1, Kawamoto teaches a computer-resource allocation method adopted by a computer system allocating a computer resource to a plurality of computers executing programs independently of each other (col. 1, lines 22-24; col. 2, lines 26-30; col. 4, lines 34-36), said method comprising the steps of:
- (1) collecting states of computer-resource utilizations of said computers (col. 5, lines 59-63; load measuring modules call the library to retrieve loads indicated CPU usage ratio, memory use ration, disk use ratio (all the ratios are representing the states of computer resource utilization));
- (2) computing coefficients of correlation among said computers with respect to said computer-resource utilizations of said computers on the basis of data representing said collected states of computer-resource utilizations (col. 11, lines 46-50); and
- (3) computing computer-resource allocation quantities of said computers on the basis of said collected states of computer-resource utilizations and said computed coefficients of correlation and allocating said computer resource to said computers in accordance with said computer-resource allocation quantities (col. 2, lines 46-54).
- 7. As per claim 2, Kawamoto teaches that wherein said step (3) includes the substeps of: forecasting states of computer-resource utilizations of said computers on the basis of data representing said collected states of computer-resource utilizations; and allocating said computer resource to said computers in accordance with said forecasted states of computer-resource utilizations and said computed coefficients of correlation (col. 2, lines 46-54, 58-65; col. 6, lines 3-6).

Application/Control Number: 10/697,648

Art Unit: 2195

8. As per claim 3, Kawamoto teaches that wherein said step (3) includes the substeps of: determining one of said computers as a specific computer requiring a larger allocated quantity of said computer resource (col. 12, lines 3-6); setting a decrease in quantity for each of said computers at such a value that, the smaller the coefficient of correlation with said specific computer, the larger the value 9col. 12, lines 57-64); subtracting said decrease in quantity from a quantity of said computer resource allocated to each of said computers except said specific computer (col. 12, lines 36-39); and transferring said decrease in quantity subtracted from said quantity of said computer resource allocated to each of said computers to said specific computer (col. 12, lines 39-40).

Page 4

- 9. As per claim 4, Kawamoto teaches that wherein said coefficients of correlation are switched from one values to others in dependence on a time frame and characteristics of programs running on said computers (col. 7, lines 10-13).
- As per claims 5-8, they are rejected for the same reason as claims 1-4 above.
- 11. As per claim 9, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 1 above. In addition, Kawamoto teaches control means for allocating said computer resource to said computers in accordance with said computer-resource allocation quantities received from said computer-resource management server (abstract; col. 2, lines 46-54)
- 12. As per claim 10, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 3 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,648 Page 5

Art Unit: 2195

13. As per claim 11, Kawamoto teaches that wherein said computer that allocates said computer resource is the computer pertaining to a plurality of physical computers (abstract).

14. As per claim 12, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 2 above.

Response to Arguments

- 15. Applicant's arguments filed 10/24/2007 fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 16. In the remark applicant argued:
- (1) Kawamoto fails to teach calculates a coefficient of correlation based on data representing collected states of computer-resource utilizations.
- (2) Kawamoto fails to teach forecast the lack of resource in future by referring to claim 2.
- 17. Examiner respectful disagreed.

As to point (1), Kawamoto teaches calculating a coefficient of correlation (allocating ratio SNi) based on data representing collected states of computer resource utilizations (the data representing the collected states here are the loads, because loads indicated CPU usage ratio, memory use ration, disk use ratio and all the ratios are representing the states of computer resource utilization (col. 11, lines 46-55).

As to point (2), there is nowhere in claim 2 recited the step of forecasting the lack of resource in future. Claim 2 recited the step of forecasting the resource based on the collected states of computer resource utilization. Kawamoto discloses the hypervisor allocates the computer resources to LPARs (a plurality of computers) according to the

Application/Control Number: 10/697,648

Art Unit: 2195

allocation ratios of the computer resources relative to the LPARs that are determined prior to system operation (col. 5, lines 22-26). The allocation ratios are calculated based on data representing collected states of computer resource utilizations (see explanation in paragraph 6 of this action). Therefore, Kawamoto teaches the step of forecasting the resource based on the collected states of computer resource utilization.

Conclusion

18. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Armstrong et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2002/0156824), Yamaguchi et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2002/0091786), Kauffman (U.S. Patent No. 6633916), Eilert et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6587938), and Greenstein et al (U.S. Patent No. 5784702) teach system and method for allocating computing resource in a logical partitioned computer system.

Kubo et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2002/0165900), Hamilton et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7299469), Kubo et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7062768), Kubo (U.S. Patent No. 6986139), and Matsuura (U.S. Patent No. 5530860) teach system and method for allocating resource based on estimating load, and load indexes.

19. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

Application/Control Number: 10/697,648

Art Unit: 2195

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jennifer N. To whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7212. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 6AM- 3:30 PM, F 6AM- 2:30 PM.

21. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

22. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jennifer N. To Examiner Art Unit 2195

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

Page 7